

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

D. Remarks

Rejection of Claims 12 and 15 Under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) based on *Eitan et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,886,561).


5 Claim 12 has been amended to include the limitations of dependent claim 16. Claim 16 was indicated as allowable over the cited reference.

Claims 12, 14 and 17 have been amended. Claim 16 has been cancelled. Claims 14 and 17 have been amended, not in response to the cited art, but to more clearly recite that claim
10 limitations and address changes in dependency arising from the cancellation of claim 16.

The present claims 1-15 and 17-18 are believed to be in allowable form. It is respectfully requested that the application be forwarded for allowance and issue.

15

Respectfully Submitted,

 6/3/05
Bradley T. Sako
Attorney
Reg. No. 37,923

20

Bradley T. Sako
WALKER & SAKO, LLP
300 South First Street
Suite 235
San Jose, CA 95113
Tel. 1-408-289-5315